

Shri Bhushan Jain, S/o Shri Rajnder Kumar Jain, H.No.392, Street No.3, Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Engineer, Operational, Central Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

...Respondents

AC No.3821/2021

Present:(i)Shri Bhushan Jain, Appellant.(ii)None on behalf of the PIO/respondent.ORDER

(Heard through Cisco Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 27.08.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Bhushan Jain, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex.

3. Neither the PIO O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing. The Bench observes that the PIO has supplied information to the appellant vide letter dated 22.11.2021.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant admits receipt of information. He, however, states that the information supplied is incomplete and he is not satisfied with the information supplied.

5. The Bench observes that PIO has not come present in person before the Bench nor has come present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. The Bench views the absence of the PIO adversely.



AC No.3821/2021

6. The PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for failing to appear for the hearing and for not complying with the directions of the Bench. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

7. In addition to the written reply, the PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case they does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

8. The Bench advises the appellant to point out deficiencies to the PIO and the PIO, after receipt of deficiencies so pointed out by the appellant, will be remove these deficiencies and supply information to the appellant before the next hearing.

9. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.03.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be

sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.12.2021 Copy to (By Regd.Post)

SD/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana

PS: Shri Sumit Arya, Sub Divisional Officer, Commercial, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana comes present at 12.40 PM after the hearing of the appeal cases was over. He prays for excusing his absence as he got late while travelling by bus. He also informs that he has talked to the appellant who will send them deficiencies. The same will be rectified on receipt and the rectified information would be supplied to the appellant before next hearing. He is also apprised of the show cause notice issued to the PIO for his absence and directed to submit reply to show cause notice by the PIO before the next hearing.

_{Sd/-} (Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 13.12.2021



Shri Bhushan Jain, S/o Shri Rajnder Kumar Jain, H.No.392, Street No.3, Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, Central Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

...Respondents

AC No.3820/20210

Present:(i)Shri Bhushan Jain, Appellant.(ii)None on behalf of the PIO/respondent.ORDER

(Heard through Cisco Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 27.08.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Bhushan Jain, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex.

3. Neither the PIO O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing. The Bench observes that the PIO has supplied information to the appellant vide letter dated 22.11.2021.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant admits receipt of information. He, however, states that the information supplied is incomplete and he is not satisfied with the information supplied.

5. The Bench observes that PIO has not come present in person before the Bench nor has come present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. The Bench views the absence of the PIO adversely.



AC No.3820/2021

6. The PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for failing to appear for the hearing and for not complying with the directions of the Bench. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

7. In addition to the written reply, the PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case they does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

8. The Bench advises the appellant to point out deficiencies to the PIO and the PIO, after receipt of deficiencies so pointed out by the appellant, will be remove these deficiencies and supply information to the appellant before the next hearing.

9. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.03.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

SD/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 13.12.2021 Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana

PS: Shri Sumit Arya, Sub Divisional Officer, Commercial, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana comes present at 12.40 PM after the hearing of the appeal cases was over. He prays for excusing his absence as he got late while travelling by bus. He also informs that he has talked to the appellant who will send them deficiencies. The same will be rectified on receipt and the rectified information would be supplied to the appellant before next hearing. He is also apprised of the show cause notice issued to the PIO for his absence and directed to submit reply to show cause notice by the PIO before the next hearing.

> SD/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 13.12.2021



Shri Bhushan Jain, S/o Shri Rajnder Kumar Jain, H.No.392, Street No.3, Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, Central Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

...Respondents

AC No.3818/2021

Present:(i)Shri Bhushan Jain, Appellant.(ii)None on behalf of the PIO/respondent.ORDER

(Heard through Cisco Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 27.08.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Bhushan Jain, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex.

3. Neither the PIO O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing. The Bench observes that the PIO has supplied information to the appellant vide letter dated 22.11.2021.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant admits receipt of information. He, however, states that the information supplied is incomplete and he is not satisfied with the information supplied.

5. The Bench observes that PIO has not come present in person before the Bench nor has come present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. The Bench views the absence of the PIO adversely.



AC No.3818/2021

6. The PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for failing to appear for the hearing and for not complying with the directions of the Bench. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

7. In addition to the written reply, the PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case they does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

8. The Bench advises the appellant to point out deficiencies to the PIO and the PIO, after receipt of deficiencies so pointed out by the appellant, will be remove these deficiencies and supply information to the appellant before the next hearing.

9. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.03.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 13.12.2021 Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana

PS: Shri Sumit Arya, Sub Divisional Officer, Commercial, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana comes present at 12.40 PM after the hearing of the appeal cases was over. He prays for excusing his absence as he got late while travelling by bus. He also informs that he has talked to the appellant who will send them deficiencies. The same will be rectified on receipt and the rectified information would be supplied to the appellant before next hearing. He is also apprised of the show cause notice issued to the PIO for his absence and directed to submit reply to show cause notice by the PIO before the next hearing.

> Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 13.12.2021



Shri Bhushan Jain, S/o Shri Rajnder Kumar Jain, H.No.392, Street No.3, Nirmal Nagar, Dugri, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, Central Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

...Respondents

AC No.3819/2021

Present:(i)Shri Bhushan Jain, Appellant.(ii)None on behalf of the PIO/respondent.ORDER(Heard through Cisco Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 27.08.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 04.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Bhushan Jain, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex.

3. Neither the PIO O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing. The Bench observes that the PIO has supplied information to the appellant vide letter dated 22.11.2021.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant admits receipt of information. He, however, states that the information supplied is incomplete and he is not satisfied with the information supplied.

5. The Bench observes that PIO has not come present in person before the Bench nor has come present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. The Bench views the absence of the PIO adversely.



AC No.3819/2021

6. The PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for failing to appear for the hearing and for not complying with the directions of the Bench. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

7. In addition to the written reply, the PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case they does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

8. The Bench advises the appellant to point out deficiencies to the PIO and the PIO, after receipt of deficiencies so pointed out by the appellant, will be remove these deficiencies and supply information to the appellant before the next hearing.

9. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.03.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be

sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.12.2021 Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Dy. Chief Engineer, Operational, West Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Ludhiana

PS: Shri Sumit Arya, Sub Divisional Officer, Commercial, Model Town Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana comes present at 12.40 PM after the hearing of the appeal cases was over. He prays for excusing his absence as he got late while travelling by bus. He also informs that he has talked to the appellant who will send them deficiencies. The same will be rectified on receipt and the rectified information would be supplied to the appellant before next hearing. He is also apprised of the show cause notice issued to the PIO for his absence and directed to submit reply to show cause notice by the PIO before the next hearing.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 13.12.2021



Shri Sohan Lal, H.No.102, Sector-23A, Chandigarh.

Versus

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Chairperson, Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, Sector-18, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chairperson, Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, Sector-18, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

AC No.3788/2021

Present:(i)Appellant- absent.(ii)Shri Manish Sharma, Manager (I.T.), RERA Punjab, Chandigarh, PIO/
Respondent, in person .

<u>ORDERR</u>

(Heard in person/on mobile phone)

1. The RTI application is dated 25.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 24.05.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 23.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri Sohan Lal, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. The PIO O/o RERA, Punjab, Chandigarh comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench and states that prior to 2018, the projects were off-line and digitisation of projects was started in 2018. He produces before the Bench Reply/Written Submissions dated 13.12.2021 stating that the reply to RTI application has been sent to the appellant point-wise on 11.05.2021. Being dis-satisfied, the appellant had filed First Appeal wherein the appellant had requested the First Appellant Authority to pass speaking order based on the appeal and accordingly, the First Appellate Authority dismissed the first appeal, however, giving an opportunity to the appellant to inspect the files of 17 projects as per procedure, law, but the appellant never approached for inspection of files. The PIO has also cited certain judgments in support of his reply to the RTI application. He further states that there are no Rules & Regulations as sought by the appellant. Cont..P-2



AC No.3788/2021

4. Since the appellant has not come present to attend the hearing either in person or through cisco webex, he is contacted on mobile phone. On apprising him of the hearing fixed for today, he states that Shri Sohan Lal is his friend who has given his mobile phone number as he often files RTI applications in the Commission and further states that he is unable to recollect full facts of the present appeal case which can be stated by Shri Sohan Lal only.

5. The Bench is not in a position to proceed further in the absence of receipt of proper feedback from the appellant and as such, deems it appropriate to adjourn the instant appeal case. The appellant is advised to ensure to come present in person before the Bench on the next hearing.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
02.03.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.12.2021

Shri Niraj Sharad Kulkarni, R/o #302B, Nayantara Hills, Mico Circle, Tidke Colony, Nashik-422002 (Maharashtra).

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Superintending Engineer, P&M Circle, Punjab State Transmission Corporation Ltd. (PSTCL), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Engineer, P&M Circle, Punjab State Transmission Corporation Ltd. (PSTCL), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.3824 of 2021

Present: (i) Shri Niraj Sharad Kulkarni, Appellant. (ii) Shri Amritpal Singh, S.E., P&M Circle, PSTCL, Ludhiana, PIO/Respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

(Heard through cisco webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 07.01.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 10.03.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 23.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. As such, the case has been heard today. However, the appellant Shri Niraj Sharad Kulkarni comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex.

3. Shri Amritpal Singh, S.E. P&M Circle, PSTCL, Ludhiana also comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. He states that the tender documents, specifications and parameters, have been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 23.02.2021. He further states that the appellant has also sought copies of documents enclosed by the participating bidders from whom acceptance to share the documents with the appellant was sought but they have denied permission to share their documents. As such, the same have not been provided to the appellant, being third party information.





AC No.3824/2021

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant confirms receipt of information. He, however, states that the information supplied is partial and misleading as in certain documents, whitener has been used and cuttings are there. He also states that there is variation in the rates of Circuit Breaker Timers procured for PSTCL and as such, claims that he has sought information in the public interest. The Bench enquires from the appellant as to whether it is possible for him to visit the office of PIO for inspection of the related records. He shows his inability to do so.

5. The PIO, however, averting to the contention of the appellant, explains the position regarding the procurement of Circuit Breaker Timers, to support his contention of third party information for not sharing the documents of the participating bidders.

6. Post deliberations, the Bench agrees with the contention of appellant that the information sought is in the larger public interest. Accordingly, the Bench directs the PIO to re-send the entire point-wise information to the appellant, containing no use of whitener or making cutting, duly attested by the PIO before the next hearing.

With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
 02.03.2022 at 11.30 AM through Cisco Webex. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 13.12.2021

Shri Ashok Kumar, H.No.214, Pine Homes, Dhakoli, Zirakpur, District Mohali.

Versus

.....Appellant

PSIC

Public Information Officer

O/o Chairman, Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, Sector-18, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority O/o Chairman, Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, Sector-18, Chandigarh.

...Respondents

AC No.3826/2021

Present:(i)Appellant - absent.(ii)Shri Manish Kumar, Manager (IT), RERA, Punjab, Chandigarh, PIO/Respondent
in person.

<u>ORDERR</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 07.01.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 26.02.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 24.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri Ashok Kumar, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He has sent an e.mail dated 10.12.2021 stating that he is unable to attend the hearing due to his eye operation and has sought another date of hearing.

3. The PIO O/o RERA, Punjab, Chandigarh comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench and states that the appellant has sought information in respect of project Gulmohar Velley-1, Village Kaulimajra, District Mohali. He produces before the Bench Reply/Written Submissions dated 13.12.2021 stating that the reply to RTI application has been sent to the appellant on 11.05.2021. Being dissatisfied, the appellant had filed First Appeal wherein the appellant had not come present and had requested the First Appellant Authority vide letter dated 21.04.2021 to pass speaking order based on the appeal and accordingly, the First Appellate Authority passed detailed order in the first appeal, however, observing that



AC No.3826/2021

the appellant had specifically sought information in respect of Gulmohar Valley-1, and the documents provided after charging fee of Rs.132/- relate to Gulmohar Valley. The First Appellate further observed that as verified by the concerned Branch of RERA, there is no record concerning Gumohar Valley-I and the information has been supplied to the appellant based on the record. As the appellant wanted record only in respect of Gulmohar Valley-I, as such, the PIO was directed to refund Rs.132/- charged from the appellant.

4. On the asking of Bench, the PIO states that based on the findings of the First Appellate Authority, the fee of Rs.132/- was refunded to the appellant and reply was given to the appellant accordingly and states that no cause of action is available to prefer the instant appeal. He also cites certain judgments in support of his averment made before the Bench.

5. Although the Bench is convinced that the appellant has been supplied adequate information, yet the Bench deems it appropriate to give another opportunity to the appellant and adjourn the instant appeal case. The appellant is advised to ensure to come present in person before the Bench on the next hearing.

With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
 02.03.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.12.2021

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate, #203, District Courts, Sector-76, Mohali-160071.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Administrator, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.3843 of 2021

Present:

Shri H.S. Hudnal, Appellant, in person. Shri Ashish Vachher, SDO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana, PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 24.05.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 28.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 26.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 13.12.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri H.S. Hundal, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that no information has been supplied to him so far by the PIO.

3. Shri Ahish Vachher, SDO/PIO, O/o GLADA, Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing in person. He states that he has come to attend AC No.2176/2020. However, in the present case, the official of GLADA dealing with the case who is to supply the information is on leave due to his own marriage and as such, the information could not be supplied to the appellant.

4. The Bench, on perusal of case file, observes that RTI application of the appellant is of 24.05.2021 and first appeal is of 28.06.2021 and the PIO has failed to supply information to the appellant in





AC No.3843/2021

spite of a lapse of more than six months. The Bench views the statement of PIO adversely that he has come to attend another appeal case as the PIO himself is accountable to ensure to supply information to the appellant and he cannot shift his responsibility on an official dealing with the case.

5. The PIO is directed to ensure to supply point-wise information to the appellant duly attested by PIO before the next hearing and also to come present in person before the Bench on the next hearing.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
28.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.12.2021

Popper PSiC

Shri H.S. Hundal, Advocate, #203, District Courts, Sector-76, Mohali-160071.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Administrator, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.2176 of 2021

Present:

Shri H.S. Hundal, Appellant. Shri Ashish Vachher, SDO/PIO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana, on behalf of PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This case may be read with reference to the order dated 27.10.2021 passed by this Bench on

the previous date of hearing.

Shri H.S. Hundal, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.
 He states that he had received a call from the office of PIO that he would be supplied information shortly but no information has been received by him.

3. Shri Ashish Vachher, SDO/PIO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing in person on behalf of the PIO. He states that he has brought along the information for handing over the same to the appellant and produces a letter dated 10.12.2021 in this regard.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the PIO shows the entire information brought along by him to the appellant by sitting in the adjacent court room. On going through the documents, the appellant states that he has received partial information on point No.3 & 5 and no information has been provided to him on points No.1, 2 and 6 to 10 and only partial information on point No.4. He further states that approximately 300 pages have been received by him and he has also pointed out the deficiencies to the PIO.



5. The Bench directs the PIO to address the deficiencies as got noted to him by the appellant and supply rectified information to the appellant before the next hearing.

With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
 28.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 13.12.2021